# Antifungal potential of human pathogenic *Blastobotrys* sp. by *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 identified using 16S rRNA and first time cultivated on Glycerol Asparagine Agar with implications for NCBI database enhancement

Sudhakar Gutte<sup>1</sup>, Rajesh Dhakane<sup>2</sup>, Aarti Deshpande<sup>3</sup>, Shubhangi Kamble<sup>4</sup>, Pankaj Singh Onkar Singh Baisthakur<sup>5</sup>, Shilpa Mankar<sup>6</sup>, Kshama Murarkar<sup>7</sup>, Arati Kamble<sup>8</sup>, Mukta Sharma<sup>9</sup>, Akanksha Dambare<sup>10</sup>, Shilpa Lokhande<sup>11</sup>, Archana Ghadge<sup>12</sup>, Pradnya Joshi<sup>13</sup>, Hitesh Mehta<sup>14</sup>, Vaishnavi Lamdade<sup>15</sup>, Rushikesh Bobde<sup>16</sup>, Gaurav Maske<sup>17</sup>, Shraddha Sanger<sup>18</sup>, Rutuja Kumbhar<sup>19</sup>, Sharddha Gurav<sup>20</sup>, Aditya Jadhav<sup>21</sup>, Kiran Eynnam<sup>22</sup>, Nikita Bankar<sup>23</sup>, Vaidehi Gargate<sup>24</sup>, Amruta Shinde<sup>25</sup>, Snehal Deshpande<sup>26</sup>, Anam Khan<sup>27</sup>, Saee Chavan<sup>28</sup>, Rutuja Rupnawar<sup>29</sup>, Kavita Chahal<sup>30</sup>, Arshiya Ansari<sup>31</sup>, Mayuri Kadam<sup>32</sup>, Aditi Phule<sup>33</sup>, Harsha Gatne<sup>34</sup>, Upasna Upadhyay<sup>35</sup>, Siddhi Kanchan<sup>36</sup>, Amol Kayande<sup>37</sup>

DOI: https://doie.org/10.1220/Cjebm.2024371653

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Mrs. Kesharbai Sonajirao Kshirsagar Alias Kaku Arts, Science and Commerce College, Beed, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>2,12,13,27,33,36,37</sup>Department of Microbiology, Jayawantrao Sawant Commerce and Science College, Hadapsar, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Shankarlal Khandelwal Arts, Science and Commerce College, Akola, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, *Someshwar Science College, Someshwarnagar*, Taluka Baramati, District Pune, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology, Late Baburao Patil Arts and Science College, Hingoli, Maharashtra, India. <sup>6,7</sup>Department of Microbiology, Dr. R.G. Bhoyar Arts, Commerce and Science College, Seloo, Maharashtra, India. <sup>8,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24</sup>Department of Microbiology, Sadguru Gadge Maharaj College, Karad, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Life Science and Technology, IIMT University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>10</sup> Department of Microbiology, Asian College of Science and Commerce, Dhayari, Maharashtra, India.
<sup>11</sup>Department of Microbiology, Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>14</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Smt. S.S. Patel Nootan Science and Commerce College, Sankalchand Patel University, Visnagar, India.

<sup>25,26</sup>Department of Microbiology, S.M Joshi College, Hadapsar, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
 <sup>28,29</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Vidya Pratishthan's Arts, Science and Commerce College, Baramati, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>30</sup> Department of Botany, Government Model Science College, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. <sup>31</sup> Department of Microbiology, B.N.N College, Bhiwandi, District Thane, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>32</sup> Department of Microbiology, Padmashri Vikhe Patil Commerce and Science college, Loni, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,India.

<sup>34</sup> Department of Microbiology, Padmashri Vikhe Patil College of Arts, Science and Commerce College Pravaranagar, Ahilyanagar Maharashtra, India.

<sup>35</sup>Academics and Research, Department of Stem Cell and Translational Research, Global Medical Education and Research Foundation, Lakdikapul, Telangana, Hyderabad, India.

# **Corresponding authors**

<sup>1</sup>,Rajesh Dhakane, <sup>2</sup>Aarti Deshpande,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Jayawantrao Sawant Commerce and Science, Hadapsar, Pune, Maharashtra, India. <sup>1,2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Shankarlal Khandelwal Arts, Science and Commerce College, Akola, Maharashtra, India.

## ABSTRACT

A soil sample collected from the rhizosphere of *Ocimum tenuiflorum* (tulsi), Karad, Maharashtra, India, was diluted to 10<sup>-2</sup> and spread on Glycerol Asparagine agar. The isolate was catalase positive, able to utilize starch and ferment the sugar. Molecular identification through partial 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing confirmed the isolate as *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10, with only 95.22% identity with NCBI sequence database suggesting the implications for NCBI database enhancement. Phylogenetic analysis using the Maximum Likelihood method revealed its closest relationship to the strain KX946197.1:370-1248. The strain showed antifungal activity against human pathogenic *Blastobotrys* sp.

Key words: Bacillus licheniformis, Ocimum tenuiflorum, Phylogenetic tree, Blastobotrys sp. Glycerol Aspargine Agar

### **INTRODUCTION**

adeninivorans, **Blastobotrys** proliferans, Blastobotrys serpentis, **Blastobotrys** *Blastobotrys* raffinosifermentans cause diseases to humans; especially, to patients with cystic fibrosis as well as patients<sup>1</sup>. In addition, the *Blastobotrys* cause invasive immunocompromised mycosis in immunocompromised people with lower susceptibility to antifungal agents<sup>2</sup>. Blastobotrys mokoenaii causes the invasive fungal infection in the immunocompromised patient who have acute myeloid leukemia<sup>3</sup>. In addition, *Blastobotrys* causes the infection to the cystic fibrosis patients, although it is treatable<sup>4,5</sup>. *Blastobotrys* fungal peritonitis was reported in the travelers who received the peritoneal dialysis<sup>6</sup>.

*Bacillus licheniformis* MH48 showed the activity against the plant pathogens *Phytophthora capsici*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Rhizoctonia solani*<sup>7</sup>. *Bacillus licheniformis* inhibits growth of different fungi<sup>7</sup> including phytopathogenic fungi<sup>8</sup>. They indicated activity against phytopathogenic fungi, for example, *Fusarium oxysporum, Botryotinia fuckiliana, Verticillium dahlia, Phytophthora cinamomi, Phytophthora cactorum, Colletotrichum acutatum, Botrytis cinerea, Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus flavus*<sup>9</sup>.

Besides, *Bacillus licheniformis* reported the antifungal activity against *Blastobotrys cinerea*<sup>10,11,12</sup>. *Bacillus licheniformis* OE-04 produces antifungal metabolites *Colletotrichum gossypii*<sup>13</sup>. *Bacillus licheniformis* BC98 produces antifungal molecules<sup>14</sup>. To add, the bacterial strain *Bacillus licheniformis* EF 617325 revealed the antifungal activity against *Ophiostoma flexuosum*, *O. polonicum*, *O. tetropii* as well as *O. ips*<sup>15</sup>. *Bacillus licheniformis* HS 10 produces antifungal proteins<sup>16</sup>. *Bacillus licheniformis* KMU-3 is antifungal against crop pathogenic fungi.

Less work has been reported on the antifungal activity of *Bacillus licheniformis* against *Blastobotrys* species, the potential human pathogenic agent. In addition, Glycerol Aspargine agar was not tested yet for the growth of *Bacillus licheniformis*. Further, NCBI has reference sequence database that has popular issues including wrong and unspecific taxonomic labelling, underrepresentation and overrepresentation of taxons, incorrect exclusion or inclusion of non-microbial, host, and vector taxa from the database, contamination of the partitioned and chimeric sequence, reference sequence with poor quality, masking with low complexity, errors/delay in database updating and maintenance<sup>17</sup>. In addition, the NCBI database based identification of species is not strong and reliable as there is variation in the similarities of the sequences obtained from organisms of variant geographical locations<sup>18</sup>.

In this study, the antimycotic potential of the bacteria under study has been investigated against the *Blastobotrys* sp. in addition with confirmation of NCBI 16S rRNA sequence database reliability for *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 identification and ability of the bacteria under study for its cultivation on Glycerol Aspargine agar.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sampling:

To isolate *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10, a soil sample was collected from the rhizosphere of *Ocimum tenuiflorum* from Karad, Maharashtra, India.

#### Isolation of Bacillus licheniformis

Initially, 1 gram of the soil sample was diluted in 100 ml of distilled water, followed by serial dilutions up to  $10^{-2}$ . The diluted sample was then spread on Glycerol Asparagine Agar plates<sup>19</sup> by the spread plate technique. Plates were incubated at  $28^{\circ}C^{20}$  for 4–6 days<sup>21</sup>. Colonies exhibiting morphological characteristics consistent with *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 were isolated and purified. Molecular identification of the purified isolates was performed at Progenome Life Science, Sambhajinagar, Maharashtra, India.

#### **Molecular Identification**

Genomic DNA was extracted from the isolated culture by the Nucleospin Microbial DNA Kit. The quality was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis (on 1% agarose gel). The gel was visualized by UV Transilluminator). PCR amplification of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene was performed with primers 27\_F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492\_R(5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3')<sup>40</sup>. The following conditions were maintained for the PCR: initial denaturation at 95<sup>o</sup>C for 3 minutes with 1 cycle, priming at 95<sup>o</sup>C for 45 seconds, extension at 52<sup>o</sup>C for 50 seconds, termination at 72<sup>o</sup>C for 70 seconds (35 cycles), final extension at 72<sup>o</sup>C for 10 minutes (1 cycle) and the final storage at 4<sup>o</sup>C. The resulting PCR product, a single distinct band, was visualized on a 1.2% agarose gel by UV transilluminator.

Unincorporated nucleotides were removed from the PCR product. The residual primers were removed by Exonuclease-I and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase enzymes. With help of BigDye® Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit, cycle sequencing reaction was performed. Ethanol precipitation method was used to perform the sequencing cleanup. The template was dissolved in HiDi formamide. It was then bidirectionally sequenced in ABI 3730 Genetic analyzer. PCR products were then processed for direct bi-directionally sequencing using ABI PRISM  $3730 \times 1$  Genetic Analyzer. The resulting DNA sequences were aligned, manually trimmed and edited using CodonCode Aligner to obtain complete sequences. Homology analysis was performed using the BLASTn tool in the NCBI reference sequence database to identify the obtained 16S rRNA gene sequence.

### **Biochemical and Microscopic Characterization**

Biochemical characterization of the isolated *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 culture included a series of tests, specifically the Triple Sugar Iron test<sup>22</sup>, catalase activity<sup>23</sup>, and starch hydrolysis<sup>24</sup>. Additionally, microscopic analysis and Gram staining<sup>25</sup> were conducted for further confirmation of isolates' identification.

### Phylogenetic Analysis

#### NCBI Submission

Partial sequence of 16S rRNA gene of *Bacillus licheniformis* was studied for the matching with other sequences in NCBI using Blastn tool<sup>26</sup> and submitted in the database of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) with accession number PQ361285.

#### Evolutionary analysis by Maximum Likelihood method

The phylogenetic analysis of the obtained partial 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Bacillus licheniformis* was performed. The Maximum Likelihood method as well as Tamura-Nei model were used to analyze the evolutionary relationship<sup>27</sup> with the bootstrap support 500 replicates<sup>28</sup>. The branches related to partitions reproduced in less than 50% bootstrap replicates were collapsed. The % of replicate trees in which the associated taxa grouped collectively in the bootstrap analysis, 500 replicates were displayed next to the branches<sup>28</sup>. The initial tree(s) were received for the heuristic search by application of BioNJ algorithm along with Neighbor-Joining to a matrix which was related with the pairwise distances

estimated by Tamura-Nei model. The selection of topology based on the superior log likelihood was performed. This analysis involved 27 nucleotide sequences. There were a total of 859 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA  $11^{29}$ .

### Antifungal activity

#### At colony level

20 ml of Sabouraud Dextrose agar was prepared in the 100 ml capacity Erlenmeyer flask, autoclaved at  $121^{0}$ C at 15 psi (pounds per square inch)<sup>30</sup> and cooled. The fresh grown culture of *Blastobotrys* sp. was then inoculated (18%) in the medium. The agar was then poured in the plate and allowed to solidify. Further, the colony of *Bacillus licheniformis* was spread at the center of the plate. It was then kept for incubation at  $28^{0}$ C<sup>31</sup>. After incubation, the average zone of inhibition was calculated.

#### At broth level

The culture of *Bacillus licheniformis* was inoculated in the Glycerol Aspargine broth and incubated at  $28^{0}$ C for 8 days in the rotary shaker incubator. Then, the broth was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 20 minutes. The supernatant was collected in a separate tube and the cell pellet was collected in another tube. Two Petri plates were prepared with Sabouraud Dextrose agar mixed with freshly grown *Blastobotrys* sp fungal culture. The wells of 7mm size were made at the center of each plate by a cork borer. 50 µl of supernatant (200 µl/ml) was added in one well and another well was kept as a control in which no supernatant was added. This process was performed to check the presence of antifungal compound in the supernatant.

#### **Poisoned Food Technique**

Poisoned food technique was performed for evaluation of the inhibition of growth of mycelium in percentage<sup>32</sup>. 100  $\mu$ l of Sabouraud Dextrose agar was prepared in the separate 250ml capacity Erlenmeyer flask and autoclaved at 121<sup>o</sup>C at 15 psi<sup>30</sup> for 20 minutes. The medium was then allowed to cool and it was then distributed in five 50 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, as 20 ml in each flask. Further, the supernatant was added in each flask by maintaining its concentration as 1%, 2.5%, 5%, and 7% by adding the supernatants in each flask as 0.2ml, 0.5ml, 1ml, 1.4ml in each flask, respectively (table 1). The medium was then poured in the plates with respective labels and allowed to solidify. Afterwards, the wells of 7mm size were bored with a cork borer at the center of each plate. The fungal discs of the same size were placed in the wells and the plates were then incubated at 28<sup>o</sup>C for 8 days. After incubation, the percent inhibition of the growth of mycelia was evaluated as follows:

Inhibition of growth of mycelium (%)= dc-dt/dc x100

Where, dc = Colony growth in relation with fungi in the control plate (cm), dt = Colony growth in relation with the fungi in the experimental plate (cm).

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### Morphological and Gram characteristics with biochemical properties

The isolated *Bacillus licheniformis strain S10* culture produced distinctive grey-colored colonies on the starch agar medium<sup>33</sup> (Figure 1) as a pure culture. The similar finding was presented by Kumar et al.,  $(2023)^{33}$ . Microscopic examination at 45X magnification revealed thin, thread-like structures with cells attached to each other (Figure 1b). This observation was supported by Ojima, Y., et al.  $(2023)^{35}$  who stated that *Bacillus licheniformis* showed the formation of aggregation in the suspension culture when the carbon was limited. Gram staining confirmed the Gram-positive nature of the culture (Figure 2) as reported by Jamali, N., et al.  $(2023)^{36}$ . Biochemically, the Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) test indicated the development of a reddish-black coloration without gas production, in contrast to the colourless control (Figure 3). The catalase test showed a positive result (Figure 4). This finding was supported by de Jesús Barreras-Bojórquez, M., et al.  $2023^{37}$  who claimed that *Bacillus licheniformis* ferments the sugar with no gas production and it produces catalase enzyme. To add, the bacteria under study showed starch untilization potental (figure 5) as reported by Božić, N., et al.  $2011^{38}$ . The starch hydrolysis test was positive, indicating the culture's ability to hydrolyze starch (Figure 5).

### **Phylogenetic Analysis**

The 899 bp partial 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 showed 95.29% sequence similarity with the NCBI database sequences (figure 6a). Similarly, Barreras-Bojórquez et al.,  $(2023)^{37}$  reported that 1413 bp 16S rRNA sequences of *Bacillus licheniformis* showed 99% identity with various GenBank sequences. The phylogenetic analysis of the obtained sequence of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 (represented as T421 in figure 6b) showed closest match with KX946197.1:370-1248 *Bacillus licheniformis* strain GJ2-1 16S ribosomal RNA gene partial sequence forming a separate clade (figure 6b). In contrast, de Jesús Barreras-Bojórquez, M., et al. (2023)<sup>37</sup> reported the single clade with 15 *Bacillus licheniformis* 16S rRNA sequences whereas, our study showed a cluster with a single 16S rRNA *Bacillus licheniformis* sequences from NCBI database.

### Antifungal activity

The centrally placed colony of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 showed average zone of inhibition as 1.8 cm (figure 4;table 2). Likewise, Kim, H. J., et al.  $(2007)^{10}$ , Lee, J. P., et al.  $(2006)^{11}$ , Lim, J. H., and Kim, S. D.  $(2010)^{12}$  proposed the antifungal potential of the bacteria under study against *Botrytis cenerea*. The supernatant obtained by the centrifugation of a broth (figure 8a) showed the zone of inhibition against *Blastobotrys* sp. figure (8b). The poisoned food technique analysis of the supernatant (extract) produced by *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 against *Blastobotrys* sp. showed potential antifungal activity (figure 9; table 3)<sup>34</sup>.

#### NOVELTY OF THE WORK

*Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 was first time isolated on Glycerol Aspargine Agar and broth. This has provided the substitution for the growth medium used for the growth of bacteria under study. For example, Luria-Bertani (LB) broth was used by Zhu H, et al.  $(2024)^{39}$  that required shaking of the medium with the culture at 180 rpm. In case of Glycerol Aspargine agar/broth no shaking was required saving the expenses of electricity for the researchers. Our work has contradicted the idea proposed by Yang MQ et al.  $(2024)^{40}$  who proposed that 16S rRNA analysis is a choice of microbial identification. In our case, comparison of 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 with NCBI reference sequence database showed only 95.22% identity. Thus, the proposed find is a novel that appeals combination of two or more DNA based methods for species level identification instead of one. **CONCLUSION** 

*Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10, identified on the basis of its 899bp partial 16S rRNA sequnce, may have a potential of inhibition of human pathogenic *Blastobotrys* sp. to reduce the fungal infections across globe. Glycerol Aspargine Agar supports the growth of *Bacillus licheniformis* which is not a regular media for its growth. NCBI 16S rRNA partial sequence database of NCBI is not completely reliable database for the accurate species level identification and needs up gradation. Further research is invited in this area for purification, identification and confirmation of the antifungal compound produced by the strain under study against *Blastobotrys* sp.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Dr. J.M Saboo, Principal, Shankarlal Khandelwal Arts, Commerce and Science College, Akola, Dr. V.R Kulkarni, Principal, Jayawantrao Sawant Commerce and Science College, Hadapsar, Pune, Maharashtra, India and Dr. Kshirsager S.V., Principal, Mrs. Kesharbai Sonajirao Kshirsagar Alias Kaku Arts, Science and Commerce College, Beed, Maharashtra, India for providing the lab facilities for the research work.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Authors declare that no conflict of interest exists among them. **FUNDING SOURCES** 

No fund received for the conducted research work. **ETHICAL STATMENT** 

No ethical guidelines were violated during the research.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors have considerable contributions for concept development as well as design, data acquisition, analysis, along with the interpretation of the data; involved in the process of drafting and revising of the article; agreed for the submission to the current journal; provided the final approval of the article to be published; and agree for the accountability of all dimensions of the work. All included authors are eligible for authorships as per the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) guidelines or requirements.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Obaidi M, Badali H, Cañete-Gibas C, Patterson HP, Wiederhold NP. Pulmonary infection secondary to Blastobotrys raffinosifermentans in a cystic fibrosis patient: Review of the literature. Mycoses. 2021 Jun;64(6):616-23.
- 2. Kumar A, Babu R, Bijulal S, Abraham M, Sasidharan P, Kathuria S et al.. Invasive mycosis due to species of Blastobotrys in immunocompromised patients with reduced susceptibility to antifungals. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Nov;52(11):4094-9.
- 3. Shiomi I, Makuuchi Y, Noura I, Kakuno S, Niki M, Kaimi Y et al. Invasive fungal infection caused by Blastobotrys mokoenaii in an immunocompromised patient with acute myeloid leukemia: A case report. JIC. 2024 Jun 1;30(6):557-61.
- 4. Wong JY, Chambers AL, Fuller J, Lacson A, Mullen J, Lien D et al.. Successful lung transplant in a child with cystic fibrosis and persistent Blastobotrys rhaffinosifermentans infection. Pediatr. transplantat. 2014 Aug;18(5):E169-73.
- 5. Roehmel JF, Tintelnot K, Bernhardt A, Seibold M, Staab D, Schwarz C. Arxula adeninivorans causing invasive pulmonary mycosis and fungaemia in cystic fibrosis. Lancet. 2015 Apr 11;385(9976):1476.
- 6. Graham J, Nataatmadja M, Romney M, Jamal A. Diaporthe and Blastobotrys fungal peritonitis in returned travellers receiving peritoneal dialysis.PDI. 2020 Mar;40(2):239-.239.
- 7. Jeong MH, Lee YS, Cho JY, Ahn YS, Moon JH, Hyun HN et al. Isolation and characterization of metabolites from Bacillus licheniformis MH48 with antifungal activity against plant pathogens. Microb. pathog. 2017 Sep 1;110:645-53.
- 8. Gomaa EZ. Chitinase production by Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus licheniformis: their potential in antifungal biocontrol. J. Microbiol. 2012 Feb;50:103-11.
- 9. Nabti EH, Mokrane N, Ghoul M, Manyani H, Dary M, Megias MG. Isolation and characterization of two halophilic Bacillus (B. licheniformis and Bacillus sp.) with antifungal activity. J. Ecol. Health Environ. 2013;1(1):13-7.
- 10. Kim HJ, Lee SH, Kim CS, Lim EK, Choi KH, Kong HG et al.. Biological control of strawberry gray mold caused by Botrytis cinerea using Bacillus licheniformis N1 formulation. JMB. 2007;17(3):438-44.
- 11. Lee JP, Lee SW, Kim CS, Son JH, Song JH, Lee KY et al. Evaluation of formulations of Bacillus licheniformis for the biological control of tomato gray mold caused by Botrytis cinerea. Biological control. 2006 Jun 1;37(3):329-37.
- Lim JH, Kim SD. Biocontrol of Phytophthora blight of red pepper caused by Phytophthora capsici using Bacillus subtilis AH18 and B. licheniformis K11 formulations. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 2010 Dec;53:766-73.
- 13. Nawaz HH, Rajaofera MN, He Q, Anam U, Lin C, Miao W. Evaluation of antifungal metabolites activity from Bacillus licheniformis OE-04 against Colletotrichum gossypii.Pestic Biochem Physiol. 2018 Apr 1;146:33-42.
- 14. Tendulkar SR, Saikumari YK, Patel V, Raghotama S, Munshi TK, Balaram P et al. Isolation, purification and characterization of an antifungal molecule produced by Bacillus licheniformis BC98, and its effect on phytopathogen Magnaporthe grisea. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2007 Dec 1;103(6):2331-9.

- Velmurugan N, Choi MS, Han SS, Lee YS. Evaluation of antagonistic activities of Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus licheniformis against wood-staining fungi: in vitro and in vivo experiments. J. Microbiol. 2009 Aug;47:385-92.
- 16. Wang Z, Wang Y, Zheng L, Yang X, Liu H, Guo J. Isolation and characterization of an antifungal protein from Bacillus licheniformis HS10. Biochem. Biophys.Res. Commun 2014 Nov 7;454(1):48-52.
- 17. Chorlton SD. Ten common issues with reference sequence databases and how to mitigate them. fbinf. 2024 Mar 15;4:1278228.
- 18. Kadam SS, Javalkar PS, Awale SK, Nasir MA, Latthe VA, Nanwate SM et al. Isolation, identification and phylogeny analysis of fungi from Motichoor ladoos belonging to the Hadapsar village of Pune district, Maharashtra, India. Int. J. Micro. Sci. 2024; 5(1), 1-5.
- 19. Meenakshi S, Hiremath J, Meenakshi MH, Shivaveerakumar S. Actinomycetes: Isolation, Cultivation and its Active Biomolecules. J.Pure Appl. Microbiol. 2024 Mar 1;18(1). J. Pure
- 20. Kumar N, Singh RK, Mishra SK, Singh AK, Pachouri UC. Isolation and screening of soil Actinomycetes as source of antibiotics active against bacteria.Int.J.Microbiol. Res. 2010 Jan 1;2(2):12.
- 21. Shepherd MD, Kharel MK, Bosserman MA, Rohr J. Laboratory maintenance of Streptomyces species.Curr. Protoc. 2010 Aug;18(1):10E-1.
- 22. Cappuccino JG, Sherman N. Microbiology. A laboratory manual. 6th edition. Pearson education. 2004, 491.
- 23. Lertcanawanichakul M, Sahabuddeen T. Characterization of Streptomyces sp. KB1 and its cultural optimization for bioactive compounds production. Peer J. 2023 Feb 24;11:e14909.
- 24. Collee JG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. Mackie and McCartney, practical Medical Microbiology, 14th editi Churchil living stone. New York. 1996.
- 25. Benson H.J., Microbiological applications, Sixth edition, Wm. C Brown Publishers, 447 (1994).
- 26. Pawar KR, Mali GV. Biodegradation Study of an Organophosphorus Insecticide–Quinalphos by Novel Sphingobacterium mizutaii strain DSM 11724. Int. J. Microb. Sci. 2022;3(1):8-16.
- 27. Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. MBE. 1993 May 1;10(3):512-26.
- 28. Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. evolution. 1985 Jul 1;39(4):783-91.
- 29. Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11.Mol. Biol. Evol. 2021 Jul 1;38(7):3022-7.
- Panta G, Richardson AK, Shaw IC, Chambers S, Coope PA. Effectiveness of steam sterilization of reusable medical devices in primary and secondary care public hospitals in Nepal and factors associated with ineffective sterilization: A nation-wide cross-sectional study. Plos one. 2019 Nov 21;14(11):e0225595.
- 31. Trunet C, Mtimet N, Mathot AG, Postollec F, Leguerinel I, Sohier D et al. Modeling the recovery of heattreated Bacillus licheniformis Ad978 and Bacillus weihenstephanensis KBAB4 spores at suboptimal temperature and pH using growth limits. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2015 Jan 15;81(2):562-8.
- 32. Gakuubi MM, Maina AW, Wagacha JM. Antifungal activity of essential oil of Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh. against selected Fusarium spp. Int. J. Microbiol. 2017;2017(1):8761610.
- 33. Kumar M, Tiwari P, Zeyad MT, Ansari WA, Kumar SC, Chakdar H et al. Genetic diversity and antifungal activities of the genera Streptomyces and Nocardiopsis inhabiting agricultural fields of Tamil Nadu, India. J. King Saud Univ. Sci. 2023 May 1;35(4):102619.
- 34. Adjou Euloge S, Sandrine K, Edwige DA, Sohounhloue Dominique CK, Soumanou Mohamed M. Antifungal activity of Ocimum canum essential oil against toxinogenic fungi isolated from peanut seeds in post-harvest in Benin. Int. res. j. biol.sci. 2012 Nov;1(7):20-6.
- 35. Ojima Y, Matano S, Azuma M. Characterization of self-aggregation in Bacillus licheniformis strain RK14. Ann. Microbiol. 2023 May 30;73(1):18.
- 36. Jamali N, Vahedi F, Fard ES, Taheri-Anganeh M, Taghvimi S, Khatami SH et al. Nattokinase: Structure, applications and sources. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol. 2023 Jan 1;47:102564.
- 37. de Jesús Barreras-Bojórquez M, Ojeda-Contreras AJ, Sánchez-Estrada A, Troncoso-Rojas R, Acedo-Félix E, Ochoa-Jiménez VA et al. Utilization of an exudate from a Bacillus licheniformis strain to control Alternaria alternata rot on tomato fruits. Universitas Agri. 2023 Jun 30;2(1):12-29.
- 38. Bozic N, Ruiz J, López-Santín J, Vujcic Z. Production and properties of the highly efficient raw starch digesting amylase from Bacillus licheniformis ATCC9945a. Biochem. Eng. J. 2011;53(2):203-9.

Vol. 20, No. 1. (2024) E ISSN: 1672-2531

- 39. Zhu H, Zhou X, Shen C, Ao Z, Cao X, Song C, Mehmood MA, Wu T, Mei J, He M, Ma Y. Bacillus licheniformis-based intensive fermentation of Tibetan tea improved its bioactive compounds and reinforced the intestinal barrier in mice. Front. Microbiol. 2024 Jun 12;15:1376757.
- 40. Yang MQ, Wang ZJ, Zhai CB, Chen LQ. Research progress on the application of 16S rRNA gene sequencing and machine learning in forensic microbiome individual identification. Front Microbiol. 2024 Feb 2;15:1360457. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1360457. PMID: 38371926; PMCID: PMC10869621.

 Table 1: Preparation of different concentrations (1%, 2.5%, 5%, and 7%) of the supernatant with the Sabouraud Dextrose agar.

Growth medium (ml)	Supernatant (ml)	Concentration of supernatant (%)
20	0.2	1
20	0.5	2.5
20	01	5
20	1.4	7



Fig 1a: Grey colored colony of Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 cultured on the Starch Agar medium as a pure culture.



Fig 1b: The culture of *Bacillus* structure under 45X objective.



licheniformis strain S10 showed thread like

Fig 2: Gram staining of Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 under 100X oil immersion objective.



Fig 3: Result of Triple Sugar Iron Utilization Test by *Bacillus licheniformis* S10. left two tubes: experimental, right tube-control).



Fig 4: Catalase test of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 was found to be positive (left two slides-experimental, right one slide-control).



Fig 5: The Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 showed the potential of starch hydrolysis (right) against control (left).

Sequences producing significant alignments Download × Select columns × Show 100 ×							00 🗸 📀		
	select all 0 sequences selected	GenE	<u>ank</u>	<u>Graph</u>	ics [	Distance	tree of r		MSA Viewer
	Description	Scientific Name	Max Score	Total Score	Query Cover	E value	Per. Ident	Acc. Len	Accession
	Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1628	1628	100%	0.0	100.00%	899	PQ361285.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain 55L2-1 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1393	1393	97%	0.0	95.22%	1459	JN366759.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain HBUAS71381 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1393	1393	96%	0.0	95.29%	1472	OR144791.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain BDGO-A4 16S ribosomal RNA gene_partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1393	1393	97%	0.0	95.10%	1000	OM864638.1
	Bacillus haynesii strain FC9 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus haynesii	1393	1393	96%	0.0	95.42%	1435	<u>MW217207.1</u>
	Bacillus licheniformis strain HBUAS77088 16S ribosomal RNA gene_partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1393	1393	96%	0.0	95.29%	1472	PQ312803.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1393	1393	96%	0.0	95.29%	1423	MT270822.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain QSB3 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1391	1391	96%	0.0	95.41%	1412	ON004998.1
	Bacillus haynesii strain 24005 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	<u>Bacillus haynesii</u>	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.28%	1086	OR430200.1
	Bacillus haynesii strain 24033 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	<u>Bacillus haynesii</u>	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.28%	984	OR430218.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain HBUAS56297 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.31%	1472	MT270436.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain HBUAS64164 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.31%	1472	OP358117.1
	Bacillus haynesii strain HBUAS56375 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	<u>Bacillus haynesii</u>	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.31%	1472	MZ959499.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain GJ2-1 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1389	1389	98%	0.0	94.90%	1298	KX946197.1
	Bacillus sonorensis strain T1 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus sonorensis	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.18%	1452	PP267324.1
	Bacillus licheniformis strain 1-27 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	Bacillus licheniformis	1389	1389	96%	0.0	95.31%	1430	PP593750.1

Fig 6a: The 899 bp sequence of 16S rRNA gene of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 showed only 95.22% matching with NCBI sequence database except the first match. Note: The first match was 16S rRNA partial gene sequence of the strain obtained in our study which was a submitted in the NCBI, hence, it was not included in the matching analysis.



Fig 6b: Phylogenetic analysis of Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 with NCBI sequences from NCBI database.



Figure 7: *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 showed antagonistic activity against *Blastobotrys* sp. Table 2: Measurements of zones of inhibitions of by *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 against *Blastobotrys* sp.

Test Organism	Tested against	Average zone of inhibition (cm)
Bacillus	Blastobotrys	1.8
licheniformis	sp.	

Vol. 20, No. 1. (2024) E ISSN: 1672-2531





Fig 8a: Supernatant produced after growth of Bacillus licheniformis strain S10 in the Glycerol Aspargine broth.



Fig 8b: Antifungal activity of supernatant against *Blastobotrys* sp. obtained after centrifugation after growth of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 in Glycerol Aspargine broth.



Fig 9: Confirmation of *Bacillus licheniformis* strain S10 produced supernatant for its activity against *Blastobotrys* sp. by Poisoned Food Technique. Table 3: Observation table of poisoned food technique

Concentration of supernatant (%)	Average mycelial growth (cm)	Inhibition of the mycelial growth (%)
1	05	12.65-5/12.65X100=60.47
2.5	3.9	12.65-3.9/12.65 X 100=69.16
5	5.4	12.65-5.4/12.65 X 100=57.31
7	9.1	12.65-9.1/12.65 X 100=28.06
No supernatant	12.65	No inhibition of growth